

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

JOSE E. FLORES,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:14-CV-806 JCM (GWF)

ORDER

Presently before the court are the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley. (Doc. #29). No objections were filed, and the deadline for filing objections has passed.

Magistrate Judge Foley recommended that plaintiff's motion to remand to the Social Security Administration (doc. #22) be denied and that defendant's cross motion for summary judgment be granted. (Doc. #23).

This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's **findings and recommendation**, then the court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [**report and recommendation**] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no

1 objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003)  
2 (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are  
3 not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no  
4 objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation  
5 without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a  
6 magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

7 Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine  
8 whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the  
9 recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause to ADOPT the magistrate  
10 judge's findings in full.

11 Accordingly,

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the report and  
13 recommendation of Magistrate Judge Foley, (doc. # 29), are ADOPTED in their entirety.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to remand to the Social Security  
15 Administration (doc. #22) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's cross motion for summary judgment (doc.  
17 #23) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

18 The clerk is ordered to close the case and enter judgment accordingly.

19 DATED February 24, 2016.

20  
21   
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28